## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

May 8, 2006

In re Application of: Ron Morris

Application No. 10/765,224

Filing Date: 01/26/2004

Attorney Docket No. 03-1105

Confirmation No. 1731

Examiner: Saether, Flimming

Art Unit:3677

## **REPLY UNDER RULE 1.111**

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Alexanderia, VA.

In response to the communication from the Examiner dated 02/14/2006, amendment has been made under provisions of 37 CFR sec. 1.111, and reconsideration of the amended application is respectfully requested.

## REMARKS

In his communication the Examiner rejected claim 23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 23 has now been amended to claim and point out a combination that the Applicant claims as invention. The Examiner questioned claim 23 as being indefinite regarding dependency which hopefully the Applicant has corrected by